| Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/02/22 : CIA-RDP78-03424A0012007 DOG 12 REV DATE 56 1 62 SECRET ORIG COMP 033 OFI 56 1 62 SECRET ORIG CLASS PAGES 1 REV CLASS CONFIDENTIAL ORIG CLASS PAGES 1 AUTHI HR 18-2 | 130002-4 |
|---|----------------|
| TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL DATE: 9 February 1961 | - |
| CONTRACT NO.: 7.0. 36-1340-0 | 2 |
| FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC REPORT NO.: FINAL - 3 (If final, so state) | - . |
| Contractor | 25 X |
| Address | |
| Commodity/Service AN/A-42 Antenna Classification SECRET | |
| | 060 |
| No. of Prototypes 23 sech Contract Completion Date: November 1 | <u> 20</u> 0 |
| The Contractor is on schedule | |
| The Contractor will remain with his allocated fundsYesNo | |
| An extension of time will be necessary Yes X No | |
| All phases of the technical progress are satisfactoryYes Yes | No |
| The overall performance of this contractor is: | |
| Subject to Improvement | ıt |
| Unsatisfactory | |
| NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments The contractor has delivered all 23 Antennas as required under to task. The workmanship exhibited in the construction/fabrication antenna is of poor quality. In order to expedite shipment of the antennas to the field, the R+D Lab will perform the necessary rethe antennas. On 13 January 1961 the contractor was advised of | e Legark of |
| Recommended Action <u>Ferminate this contract.</u> Agency's dissatisfaction of the poor workmanship. | |
| | |
| Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant NA | |
| | |
| Inspected by: _ | 25X |
| Division: | |
| Extension: | 25X |
| CONFIDENTIAL SECRET | |
| 2Enut+ | |

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/02/22 : CIA-RDP78-03424A001200130002-4